

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/006,206	12/10/2001	William J. Krupowicz	6492.0012	9028
7590 05/25/2004			EXAMINER	
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,			BUI, THACH H	
Garrett & Dunne	er, L.L.P.			
1300 I Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-3315			3752	

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		, , , \				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/006,206	KRUPOWICZ, WILLIAM J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thach H Bui	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ricon. 5, a reply within the statutory minimum of third period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 April 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applic	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6 and 8</u> is	s/are withdrawn from considerat	ion.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4,7 and 9-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the	uments have been received. uments have been received in A	pplication No				
application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	SB/08) 5) 1 Notice of in					

Art Unit: 3752

ان جوم

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed April 21, 2004 has been received and entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: "leaning head" recited in line 2. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9, 3-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Artenian.

Artenian teaches a high pressure cleaning apparatus comprising a rotatable spray head (see Fig. 2 and 3), and diffusers (perforated diffusers) (col. 8, lines 20-26) (51₁, 51₂, 80) located one on each side of the cleaning head to prevent over cleaning of concrete and/or floor along the left and right sides of a swath of concrete cleaned by apparatus (10); thereby, the diffusers prevent stripping on the surface to be cleaned due to the overlapping passes of the cleaning head. Artenian has all the features of the invention but Artenian does not mentioned specifically the diffusers mounted 2 inches from at least one side of the cleaning head, the diffusers are expanded metal grid

Art Unit: 3752

and/or expanded metal perforated sheet graduated in size and decreasing in size toward the outside of the cleaning head. It would have been obvious to one skilled artisan in the art to have made the diffusers as expanded metal grid to prolong the lifetime of the diffusers and further, it is obvious to one skilled artisan in the art to have made the diffusers graduated in size and decreasing in size toward the outside of the cleaning head to prevent stripping on the surface to be cleaned due to the overlapping passes of the cleaning head.

4. Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Artenian in view of Fellhauer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,465,456).

Artenian has all the features of the invention but Artenian failed to teach a suction manifold. Fellhauer et al. teach a suction manifold (34, 29 and 67). It would have been obvious to one skilled artisan in the art to modify the teachings of Artenian and combine with Fellhauer et al. to have a suction manifold incorporated within the high pressure cleaning apparatus to perform suctioning of cleaning fluid.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed April 21, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments have been addressed in the above paragraphs.

Art Unit: 3752

۾ 2و

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thach H Bui whose telephone number is 703-305-0063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on 703-308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T.B. 05/18/2004

MICHAEL MAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Page 5